



Havering
LONDON BOROUGH

Daniel Douglas
Team Leader Transport Planning
Planning
London Borough of Havering
Havering Town Hall
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BD

Mr Rynd Smith
Lead Member of the Examining Authority

t [REDACTED]
e [REDACTED]@havering.gov.uk
text relay [REDACTED]

Date 1st August 2023
www.havering.gov.uk

London Borough of Havering (20035775) – Response to Applicant’s Deadline 1 Submissions

Dear Sir,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Applicant’s Deadline 1 Submissions.

National Highways (NH)

- Outline Traffic Management Plan for Construction (REP1-174)

LB Havering has reviewed the Applicant’s revised *7.14 Outline Traffic Management Plan for Construction (oTMPfC) (Tracked changes version)*, dated July 2023.

LB Havering welcomes the reduction of the proposed Ockendon Road temporary full closure (RNTM58) to a maximum of 10 months (p.55), although this is still considered too long for a full road closure. The Council will continue to work with the Applicant to try and reduce the timeframe for the closure further.

LB Havering notes that this revision has not been reflected in Table A.4 Roads North traffic management measures, which is still showing a 19-month duration (RNTM58, Ockendon Road, Closure, p. 111). LB Havering requests that the Applicant updates Table A.4 for consistency and clarity.

Whilst this revision is welcome, LB Havering’s concerns remain regarding the lack of resilience on the proposed diversion routes. These are considered in full in LB Havering’s LIR (see paragraphs 7.2.25, 7.2.26 & 7.2.27).

- Preliminary Works Environmental Management Plan (REP1-159)

LB Havering has reviewed the Applicant’s revised Preliminary Works Environmental Management Plan (Preliminary Works EMP), *6.3 Environmental Statement Appendices, Appendix 2.2 – Code of Construction Practice, First iteration of Environmental Management Plan – Annex C – Preliminary Works Environmental Management Plan (Tracked changes version)*, dated July 2023.

The Havering you want to be part of

LB Havering notes the change in paragraph 2.1.1 of the Preliminary Works EMP, which relates to the commitments listed in the Preliminary Works REAC applying to the preliminary works and locations identified in Table 1.1 of the Preliminary Works EMP. The Applicant has deleted that the commitments will be incorporated in the Preliminary Environmental Management Plans (Preliminary EMPs) in accordance with Requirement 4 of Schedule 2 of the DCO.

LB Havering requests clarification from the Applicant on this proposed revision.

- Construction Traffic Receptors and Results (REP1-119 & REP1-120)

LB Havering has reviewed the Applicant's revised document *6.2 Environmental Statement Figures Figure 5.5 – Construction Traffic Receptors and Results (1 of 2 / 2 of 2) (Tracked Changes Version), Version 2.0*, dated July 2023.

LB Havering has the following observations and requests for clarification:

- Sheets/Pages 46 and 92 – The drawing legend includes the construction phase modelled road (blue line). LB Havering would like to understand why Stubbers Lane is not included in the modelling and would appreciate if the Applicant is able to clarify this at Deadline 3 (D3). LB Havering notes that, even if Stubbers Lane was not a designated route for construction vehicles, diverted general traffic may use this route. LB Havering has commented on this matter in the Council's LIR (paragraph 7.2.19 and Table 7, p. 85).
- Sheets/Pages 92 and 93 – LB Havering would be grateful for clarification as to why the link on Dennises Lane between Sunnings Lane and Stubbers Lane has been missed out of the modelling?
- Rights of Way and Access Plans (REP1-024 & REP1-027)

LB Havering has reviewed the Applicant's revised document *2.7 Rights of Way and Access Plans, Volume B (Sheets 1 of 20 of 49) (Tracked changes version)* and *Volume C (Sheets 21 of 49 of 49) (Tracked changes version)*, both dated July 2023.

LB Havering has the following observations with respect to Volume C:

- Sheet 43 – New or improved permissive path has been designated between 39/7 and 43/5. Since this links two (new or improved) bridleways, it does not make sense that this is permissive path.

Yours faithfully,



Daniel Douglas

Team Leader Transport Planning

The Havering you want to be part of